

CORRECTION – COMPTE RENDU DE DOCUMENT ECRIT

Both documents are news articles about politicians' privacy. The first one was posted on the Huffington post website in 2017 and written by George Friedman, a political specialist whereas the second one was posted on PBS website and written by Randy Tate, an American Republican politician.

The first document is an opinion article since it's written at the first person. GF first says that he values privacy and explains that politics and political skills have nothing to do with private life and gives examples of famous leaders who were not perfect, like FDR, WC, AL or JFK but who were great leaders and did great things. Each of them had either health or mental problems but luckily, nobody knew because if people had known they probably wouldn't have been elected and that would have been a great loss for the world.

The second document is an opinion article too but RT doesn't share GF's point of view. Indeed, according to him, everyone should know everything about people who want to rule a country. For him, private life reflects a personality and you should be flawless if you want to be a leader and an inspiring person for children. He adds that it's the rôle of the press and the media to investigate their private lives. He ends his article with a short poll to know more about the readers' point of view. He wants to know what they think about the press and how far they should investigate in politicians' privacy.

The goal of both articles is to give an opinion about the rôle of the press and the limit they should or shouldn't put in their investigations about politicians' privacy. For GF, things were better when the press only focused on political issues and decisions. For RT, on the contrary, it's part of the rôle of the press to reveal everything they know about political leaders.

In both cases the tone seems concerned : GF regrets the press intrusion in politicians' lives whereas RT urges the press to investigate and disclose secrets.